OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION

65 East State Street, Suite 312 Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 466-0880

APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

	Revised 6/90	
IMPORTANT: Applicant should for assistance in	consult the "Instructions for Completion of Project A the proper completion of this form.	pplication
APPLICANT NAME STREET	: City of Cincinnati 801 Plum Street	
CITY/ZIP	Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	
PROJECT NAME PROJECT TYPE TOTAL COST DISTRICT NUMBER COUNTY PROJECT LOCATION	Erie Avenue Rehabilitation Street Rehabilitation \$ 232,000 Hamilton ZIP CODE 45208	OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ENGINEER
DISTR To be com	ICT FUNDING RECOMMENDATION pleted by the District Committee ONLY	See See
RECOMMENDED AMOUNT		_
FUND	ING SOURCE (Check Only One):	
State Issue 2 District Allocatio X Grant Loan Loan Assistance	n State Issue 2 Small Government Fund State Issue 2 Emergency Funds Local Transportation Improvement Fu	
	FOR OPWC USE ONLY	ed element of a
OPWC PROJECT NUMBER:	OPWC FUNDING AMOUNT: \$	

1.0 APPLICANT INFORMATION

FAX

1.1	CHIEF EXECUTIVE	
	OFFICER TITLE STREET CITY/ZIP PHONE	Gerald Newfarmer City Manager 801 Plum Street Room 152 City Hall Cincinnati, 45202 (513) 352 '- 3241
1.2	OFFICER TITLE STREET CITY/ZIP	Frank Dawson Director of Finance 801 Plum Street Room 250, City Hall Cincinnati 45202
1.3	PHONE FAX PROJECT MGR TITLE STREET CITY/ZIP PHONE	(513) 352 3732 ()
1.4	PROJECT CONTACT	Doug Perry Senior Engineer
	STREET CITY/ZIP PHONE FAX	801 Plum Street Room 435, City Hall Cincinnati 45202 (513) 352 - 3407 ()
1.5	DISTRICT LIAISON TITLE STREET CITY/ZIP PHONE	William Brayshaw Chief Deputy Engineer Hamilton County Engineer's Office 223 West Galbraith Road Cincinnati 45215 (513) 761 - 7400

513) <u>761 - 7400</u> 513) 761 - 9127

2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

<u>IMPORTANT:</u> If project is multi-jurisdictional in nature, information must be <u>consolidated</u> for completion of this section.

2.1 PROJECT NAME: Erie Avenue Rehabilitation

2.2 BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION - (Sections A through D): A. SPECIFIC LOCATION:

Erie Avenue from Grace Avenue to Edwards Road (see attached map)

B. PROJECT COMPONENTS:

Rehabilitation of existing roadway including repair and replacement of curb, removal of existing asphalt surface, base and joint repairs, inlet and connection pipe repairs, casting adjustments and resurfacing with a minimum of 2 inches of asphaltic concrete.

C. PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS/CHARACTERISTICS:

Roadway is 6 lanes, 58 feet in width and 3250 feet in length.

D. DESIGN SERVICE CAPACITY:

IMPORTANT: Detail shall be included regarding current service capacity vs proposed service level. If road or bridge project, include ADT. If water or wastewater project, include current residential rates based on monthly usage of 7,756 gallons per household.

ADT = 12,600

No change in service capacity.

Will use standard rehabilitation practices to upgrade the roadway to an acceptable condition.

2.3 REQUIRED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

(Photographs/Additional Description; Capital Improvements Report; Priority List; 5-year Plan; 2-year Maintenance of Effort report, etc.) Also discuss the number of temporary and/or fulltime jobs which are likely to be created as a result of this project. Attach Pages. Refer to accompanying instructions for further detail.

3.0 PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

3.1 PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS (Round to Nearest Dollar):

a) b) c)d)e)f)	Project Engineering Costs: 1. Preliminary Engineering 2. Final Design 3. Construction Supervision Acquisition Expenses 1. Land 2. Right-of-Way Construction Costs Equipment Costs Other Direct Expenses Contingencies	\$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$
g)	TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS	\$ 232,000

3.2 PROJECT FINANCIAL RESOURCES (Round to Nearest Dollar and Percent)

·~\	* *	Dollars	%
a)	Local In-Kind Contributions	\$	-
b)	Local Public Revenues	\$69,600	30
c)	Local Private Revenues	\$	
d)	Other Public Revenues		
	1. ODOT	\$	
	2. FMHA	Ś	
	3. OEPA	Š	
	4. OWDA	Š	
	5. CDBG.	Š	
	6. Other	\$	
e)	OPWC Funds		
	1. Grant	\$ 163,400	70
	2. Loan	\$	
	3. Loan Assistance	Š	
f)	TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES	\$ 232,000	100

if the required local match is to be 100% In-Kind Contributions, list source of funds to be used for retainage purposes:

3.3 AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS

Indicate the status of <u>all</u> local share funding sources listed in section 3.2(a) through 3.4(c). In addition, if funds are coming from sources listed in section 3.2(d), the following information <u>must be attached to this project application</u>:

1) The date funds are available;

Verification of funds in the form of an agency approval letter or agency project number. Please include the name and number of the agency contact person.

3.4 PREPAID ITEMS

ENGR. DESIGN

CONSTRUCTION

BID PROCESS

4.1

4.2

4.3

Definitions:			
Cost - Cost Item - Prepaid -	Total Cost of the Prepaid Non-construction costs, i design, acquisition expensions titems (non-construction paid prior to receipt of	ncluding prelimina ses (land or right-of on costs directly re	-way). lated to the project),
Resource Category - Verification -	OPWC. Source of funds (see sect Invoice(s) and copies of accompanied by Project I	warrant(s) used t	o for prepaid costs, tion (see section 1.4).
IMPORTANT: Verification	of all prepaid Items shall	be attached to this	project application.
COST ITEM	RESOUR	CE CATEGORY	COST
1)			\$
2)		·	\$
3)	·		\$
TOTAL OF P	REPAID ITEMS \$_		
3.5 REPAIR/REI	PLACEMENT or NEW/EXI	PANSION	
This section need only b	oe completed if the Projec	t is to be funded b	y SI2 funds:
OTAL PORTION OF PROS State Issue 2 Fund (Not to Exce	JECT REPAIR/REPLACEMENT is for Repair/Replacement eed 90%)	\$ 232,000 \$ 162,400	<u>100</u> %
OTAL PORTION OF PRO State Issue 2 Fund (Not to Exce	ls for New/Expansion	\$\$	%
4.0 PROJECT SC	HEDULE ESTIMATED START DATE	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DA	√ TE

10/_1_/_90_

91

91

1 / 91

/ 92

5.0 APPLICANT CERTIFICATION

The Applicant Certifies That:

As the official representative of the Applicant, the undersigned certifies that: (1) he/she is legally empowered to represent the applicant in both requesting and accepting financial assistance as provided under Chapter 164 of the Ohio Revised Code and 164-1 of the Ohio Administrative Code; (2) that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are a part of this application are true and correct; (3) that all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are a part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the Applicant; (4) and, should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the Applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio law, including those involving minority business utilization, Buy Ohio, and prevailing wages.

IMPORTANT: Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in this application has not begun, and will not begin, until a Project Agreement on this project has been issued by the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary is evidence that OPWC funds are not necessary to complete this project.

IMPORTANT: in the event of a project cost underrun, applicant understands that the identified local match share (sections 3.2(a) through 3.2(c) will be paid in full toward completion of this project. Unneeded OPWC funds will be returned to the funding source from which the project was financed.

Mich	<u>ael Bierman, Acting City Manager</u>
Certifying	Representative (Type Name and Title)
/ Mg	lead to the day of
Signature/	Date Signed
Applicant shall application:	check each of the statements below, confirming that all required information is included in this
	A five-year Capital Improvements Report as required in 164-1-31 of the Ohio Administrative Code and a two-year Maintenance of Local Effort Report as required in 164-1-12 of the Ohio Administrative Code.
	A registered professional enginee:'s estimate of useful life as required in 164-1-13 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimate shall contain engineer's <u>original seal and signature</u> .
	A registered professional engineer's estimate of cost as required in 164-1-14 and 164-1-16 of the Ohlo Administrative Code. Estimate shall contain engineer's <u>original seal and signature</u> .
	A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to submit this application and to execute contracts.
YES N/A	A copy of the cooperation agreement(s) (for projects involving more than one subdivision or district).
YES N/A	Copies of all invoices and warrants for those items identified as "pre-paid" in section 4.4 of this application.

6.0 DISTRICT COMMITTEE CERTIFICATION

ine That	District :	Integrating	Committee	for	District	Number	2	Certifies

As the official representative of the District Public Works Integrating Committee, the undersigned hereby certifies: that this application for financial assistance as provided under Chapter 164 of the Ohio Revised Code has been duly selected by the appropriate body of the District Public Works Integrating Committee; that the project's selection was based entirely on an objective, District-oriented set of project evaluation criteria and selection methodology that are fully reflective of and in conformance with Ohio Revised Code Sections 164.05, 164.06, and 164.14, and Chapter 164-1 of the Ohio Administrative Code; and that the amount of financial assistance hereby recommended has been prudently derived in consideration of all other financial resources available to the project. As evidence of the District's due consideration of required project evaluation criteria, the results of this project's ratings under such criteria are attached to this application.

DONALD C. SCHRAMM, CHAIRMAN DISTRICT #2 INTEG	RATING COMMITTEE
Certifying Representative (Type Name and Ti	tie)
Smald C. del passer 11/19	
Signature/Date Signed	

TWO YEAR MAINTENANCE OF LOCAL EFFORT REPORT

CINCINNATI CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET, 1988

PROJECT NAME	PROJECT TYPE	FUNDING SOURCE	<u>Fur</u>	NDING AMOUNT
Street Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 1	7,750,000
Street Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$;	1,850,000
Southside Avenue Bridge Replacement	Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 3	1,426,000
Eggleston Avenue Improvement	Widening & Channelizing	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	325,00ò
Bridge Investment Protection Program	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	125,000
Wall Stabilization & Landslide Correction	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	500,000
City Sidewalks, Drives, Etc.	Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	375,000
City Hillside Stair Renovation	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	50,000
Impract Attenuators	Installation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	50,000
Hopple-Beekman- Westwood Northern Blvd. Intersection	Widening	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	100,000
Bridge Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	310,000

TWO YEAR MAINTENANCE OF LOCAL EFFORT REPORT

CINCINNATI CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET, 1989

PROJECT NAME	PROJECT TYPE	FUNDING SOURCE	FUNDING AMOUNT
Hopple-Beekman- Westwood Northern Blvd. Intersection	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund (from Issue 1 Funds)	\$ 315,000
Monastery Street	Hillside Stabilization	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 300,000
Guerley Road	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 50,000
Street Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 1,710,000
City Sidewalks, Drives, Etc.	Replacement	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 200,000
City Hillside Stair Renovation	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 190,000
Wall Stabilization & Landslide Correction	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 500,000
Belmont Avenue	Widening	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 300,000
Brighton Connection	Intersection Improvement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 400,000
Calhoun Street	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 100,000
Clifton Avenue	Realignment	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 150,000
Elberon Avenue	Landslide Correction	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 60,000

TWO YEAR MAINTENANCE OF LOCAL EFFORT REPORT

CINCINNATI CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET, 1990

PROJECT NAME	PROJECT TYPE	FUNDING SOURCE	FUNDING AMOUNT
Street Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 5,200,000
Street Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 110,000
Southside Avenue Bridge Replacement	Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 100,000
Queen City and LaFeuille	Intersection Improvement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 325,000
Bridge Investment Protection Program	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 60,000
Wall Stabilization & Landslide Correction	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 400,000
City Sidewalks, Drives, Etc.	Replacement	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 300,000
City Hillside Stair Renovation	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 270,000
Lincoln, Alms and M.L. King	Intersection Improvemtnt	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 310,000
Cinti-Newport Bridge Approach	Widening	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 550,000
Bridge Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 1,300,000

Hamilton Avenue	TWO YEAR MAINTENANCE Widening	OF LOCAL EFFORT REPORT Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 200,000
Maryland Avenue	Landslide Correction	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 100,000
Queen City Avenue	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 700,000
Rapid Transit Tubes Under Central Parkway	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 300,000
Stadium/Coliseum Bridges	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 120,000
Waits Avenue	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 50,000
Waldvogel Viaduct	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 200,000
Warsaw/Waldvogel Ramp	Landslide Correction	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 130,000
Groesbeck Road	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 100,000
U.S. 50/Sixth Street Expressway	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 100,000

TI Stadium/Coliseum Bridges	NO YEAR MAINTENANCE OF L Rehabilitation	OCAL EFFORT REPORT Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 80,000
Sixth St. Expressway Millcreek to I-75	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 300,000
Waldvogel Viaduct	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement	\$ 500,000

City of Cincinnati



Department of Public Works Division of Engineering

Room 440, City Hall 801 Plum Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

George Rowe Director Thomas E. Young City Engineer

September 14, 1990

Subject: Erie Avenue Rehabilitation,

Grace to Edwards

Certification of Useful Life of Issue 2 OPWC Projects

As required by Chapter 164-1-13 of the Ohio Administrative Code, I hereby certify that the design useful life of the subject street rehabilitation project is at least twenty (20) years.



(seal)

T. E. Young, P.E.

City Engineer

City of Cincinnati

1991 STREET REHABILITATION, STATE ISSUE #2 Erie Avenue

•					
222	T01917 17A	ESTIMATED		EST. UNIT	ESTIMATED
REF.	ITEM NO.	QUANTITIES	DESCRIPTION	PRICE	COST
1	103.05	Lump Sum	Contract Bond		\$645.00
2	Special	1,290 s.y.	Part Depth Pavt. Rep(Conc. Pavt.)	\$27.00	\$34,830.00
3	202	500 s.y.	Rigid Pavt. Removed-Full Depth	\$25.00	\$12,500.00
4	202	21,500 s.y.	Wearing Course Removed	\$1.50	\$32,250.00
5	301	125 c.y.	Bituminous Aggregate Base(9")	\$85.00	\$10,625.00
6	403	610 c.y.	Asphalt Concrete Leveling Course	\$62.00	\$37,820.00
7	404	610 c.y.	Asphalt Concrete Surface Course	\$62.00	\$37,820.00
8	603	10 1.f.	12" Conduit, Type "H"	\$30.00	\$300.00
9	604	30 ea.	Manhole Adjust to Grade W/O Ring	\$175.00	\$5,250.00
10	604	20 ea.	Valve Chambers Adjust W/O Ring	\$175.00	\$3,500.00
11	604	6 ea.	SGI Adjusted To Grade	\$240.00	\$1,440.00
12	604	6 ea.	SGI Repaired & Adjusted To Grade	\$240.00	\$1,440.00
13	604	16 ea.	DGI Adjusted To Grade	\$230.00	\$3,680.00
14	604	16 ea.	DGI Repaired & Adjusted To Grade	\$260.00	\$4,160.00
15	608	660 s.f.	Handicap Ramp	\$4.00	\$2,640.00
16	608	220 s.f.	Concrete Walk	\$4.00	\$880.00
17	609	2,100 l.f.	Concrete Curb Repair, Type P-4	\$16.00	\$33,600.00
18	609	110 l.f.		\$15.00	
19	612	100 s.f.	Conc. Median & Traffic Island Repair	\$7.00	\$1,650.00
20	627	100 s.f.	Concrete Driveway	\$7.00 \$5.00	\$700.00
21	660	1,900 l.f.	Sod Restoration	•	\$500.00
22	1125	12 ea.	Reset Ex. Valve Box W/O Adjusters	\$2.00 \$110.00	\$3,800.00
23	619	Lump Sum	Field Office	\$110.00	\$1,320.00
	013	non-p com	LIETO OTTICE		\$650.00

\$232,000.00 Total Cost



City Engineer City of Cincinnati

3.3 AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS

LOCAL SHARE OF THE PROJECT COSTS WILL COME FROM CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUNDS WHICH WILL BE APPROVED AS PART OF THE CITY'S 1991 BUDGET. CAPITAL FUNDS COME FROM CITY INCOME TAX REVENUE AND THE SALE OF BONDS.



SUPPORTING INFORMATION

TEMPORARY JOBS:

This project will result in temporary employment due to construction work. Approximately ten (10) to fifteen (15) short-term construction jobs will be created as a result of this project.

FULL-TIME JOBS:

We are not able to forsee any new, full-time employment as a result of this project.

ADDITIONAL SUPPORT INFORMATION

For 1991, jurisdictions shall complete the State application form for Issue 2, Small Government, or Local Transportation Improvement Program (LTIP) funding. In addition, the District 2 Integrating Committee requests the following information to determine which projects are funded. Do $\underline{\text{NOT}}$ request a specific type of funding desired, as this is decided by the District Integrating Committee.

1. Of the total infrastructure within the jurisdiction which is similar to the infrastructure of this project, what percentage can be classified as being in **poor** condition, adequacy and/or serviceability?

Typical examples are:

Road percentage= <u>Miles of road that are in poor condition</u>
Total miles of road within jurisdiction

Storm percentage= <u>Miles of storm sewers that are in poor condition</u>
Total miles of storm sewers within jurisdiction

Bridge percentage= <u>Number of bridges that are in poor condition</u>

Number of bridges within jurisdiction

Road Percentage = Total Miles = 915 = 21.9%

What is the condition of the existing infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded? For bridges, base condition on latest general appraisal and condition rating.

Closed	 Poor	*
Fair	 Good	

Give a brief statement of the nature of the deficiency of the present facility such as: inadequate load capacity (bridge); surface type and width; number of lanes; structural condition; substandard design elements such as berm width, grades, curves, sight distances, drainage structures, or inadequate service capacity. If known, give the approximate age of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded.

Pavement shows sign of severe wear - Pavement failures, heaved		
joints, spalled and deteriorated curb, inlet failures, and general		
deterioration of existing roadway. Age of pavement is 30 years (+-)		

3.:	If State Issue 2 funds are awarded, how soon (in after completion of the agreement with OPWC would the occur?			
	Please indicate the current status of the projecting the appropriate answers below.	ct deve	elopme	ent by
	a) Has the Consultant been selected?	<i>l</i> es	No	N/A
	b) Preliminary development or engineering completed? Y	es (No	N/A
	c) Detailed construction plans completed?	Zes (No	N/A
	d) All right-of-way acquired? Y	es	No	N/A
	e) Utility coordination completed?	Zes (No	N/A
	Give estimate of time, in weeks or months, to complete not yet completed.	e any	item	above
	Within 3 months of approval by OPWC, all above work wil so that projects can be awarded in 1990.	l be o	omple	ted
<u>4</u> .	How will the proposed infrastructure activity imp health, welfare, and safety of the service area? (include the effects of the completed project on emergency response time, fire protection, health benefits, and commerce.) Will assist in maintaining current tax base and also presents at safety and network for future development.	Typica accid haza	al exa lent r ards,	mples
5.	For any project involving GRANTS, the local jurisdict a MINIMUM OF 10% of the anticipated cons Additionally, the local jurisdiction must pay 100% preliminary engineering, inspection of construction, acquisition. If a project is to be funded under Government, the costs of any betterment/expansion Local matching funds must either be currently on jurisdiction, or certified as having been approved or outside agency (MRF, CDBG, etc.). Proposed funding the Project Application under Section 3.2, "Pr Resources". For a project involving LOANS or CRED 100% of construction costs are eligible for funding match required.	tructi of the and ri Issue are 1 deposi encumb must b toject IT ENE	on le cos lght-c 2 or l00% l t wit bered le sho Fina	cost. ts of f-way Small ocal. h the by an wn on ncial ENTS,
	What matching funds are to be used for this project State, MRF, Local, etc.)	? (i.e	. Fed	eral,
	Local Capital Improvement Bond Funds.			
	To what extent are matching funds to be utilized percentage of anticipated CONSTRUCTION costs?	l, expı	ressed	as a
	208			

	Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a complete ban or partial ban of the use or expansion of use for the involved infrastructure? (Typical examples include weight limits, truck restrictions, and moratoriums or limitations on issuance of new building permits.) THE BAN MUST HAVE AN ENGINEERING JUSTIFICATION TO BE CONSIDERED VALID.
	COMPLETE BAN NO BANX
	Will the ban be removed after the project is completed? YESNO
	Document with <u>specific information</u> explaining what type of ban currently exists and the agency that imposed the ban.
	What is the total number of existing users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? Use appropriate criteria such as households, traffic counts, ridership figures for public transit, daily users, etc., and equate to an equal measurement of users:
	ADT = 12,600 USERS = 15,120
	For roads and bridges, multiply current <u>documented</u> Average Daily Traffic by 1.2 occupants per car (I.T.E. estimated conversion factor) to determine users per day. Ridership figures for public transit <u>must be documented</u> . Where the facility currently has any restrictions or is partially closed, use documented traffic counts prior to restriction. For storm sewers, sanitary sewers, water lines, and other related facilities, multiply the number of households in the service area by four (4) to determine the approximate number of users per day.
•	The Ohio Public Works Commission requires that all jurisdictions applying for project funding develop a five year overall Capital Improvement Plan that shall be updated annually. The Plan is to include an inventory and condition survey of existing capital improvements, and a list detailing a schedule for capital improvements and/or maintenance. Both Five-Year Overall and Five-Year Issue 2 Capital Improvement Plans are required.
	Copies of these Plans are to be submitted to the District Integrating Committee at the same time the Project Application is submitted.
•	Is the infrastructure to be improved part of a facility that has regional significance? (Consider the number of jurisdictions served, size of service area, trip lengths, functional classification, and length of route.) Provide supporting information.
	This street is part of the Federal Aid Urban System and is classified as a major arterial and provider access to eastern part of City and Hamilton County.

OHIO INFRASTRUCTURE BOND PROGRAM (ISSUE 2)

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (LTIP)

DISTRICT 2 - HAMILTON COUNTY

1991 PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA

JURISDI	CTION	/AGENCY: CINCINNATI
PROJECT	IDEN	TIFICATION: ERIE AVENIUE
PROPOSE	D FUN	DING:
ELIGIBL	E CAT	EGORY:
POINTS		
10	1)	Type of project 10 Points - Bridge, road, stormwater
10	2)	5 Points - All other projects
9		10 Points - Will definitely be awarded in 1991 5 Points - Some doubt whether it can be awarded in 1991 0 Points - No way it can be awarded in 1991
10	3)	What is the condition of the infrastructure to be replaced or repaired? For bridges, base condition on latest general appraisal and condition rating.
		15 Points - Poor condition 10 Points - Fair to Poor condition 5 Points - Fair condition
	NOTE will bett	: If infrastructure is in "good" or better condition, it NOT be considered for Issue 2/LTIP funding, unless it is a erment project that will improve serviceability.

If the project is built, what will be its effect on the 4) facility's serviceability? 5 Points - Will significantly effect serviceability 3 Points - Will moderately effect serviceability 2 Points -1 Point - Will have little or no effect on serviceability Of the total infrastructure within the jurisdiction which is 5) similar to the infrastructure of this project, what portion can be classified as being in poor or worse condition, and/or inadequate in service? 10 Points - 50% and over 8 Points - 40% to 49% 6 Points - 30% to 39% 4 Points - 20% to 29% 2 Points - 10% to 19% 0 Points - Less than 10% How important is the project to the health, welfare, and safety of the public and the citizens of the District and/or the service area? 10 Points - Significant importance 8 Points -6 Points - Moderate importance 4 Points -2 Points - Minimal importance 7) What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction? 10 Points - Poor 8 Points -6 Points - Fair 4 Points -2 Points - Excellent What matching funds are being committed to the project, 8) expressed as a percentage of the TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST? Matching funds may be local, Federal, ODOT, MRF, etc. or a combination of funds. 5 Points - More than 50% 4 Points - 40% to 49.9% 3 Points - 30% to 39.9% 2 Points - 20% to 29.9%

1 Point - 10% to 19.9%

any formal action by a Federal, State, or loca 9) Has governmental agency resulted in a partial or complete ban o the usage or expansion of the usage for the involve infrastructure? Examples include weight limits o moratoriums on building permits in structures and area due to local flooding downstream. Point. particular can be awarded ONLY if construction of the project being rated will cause the ban to be removed.

10 Points - Complete ban

5 Points - Partial ban

0 Points - No ban

10) What is the total number of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? Appropriate criteria includes traffic counts & households served, when converted to a measurement of persons. Public transit users are permitted to be counted for roads and bridges, but only when certifiable ridership figures are provided.

10 Points - 10,000 and Over

8 Points - 7,500 to 9,999

6 Points - 5,000 to 7,499

4 Points - 2,500 to 4,999

2 Points - 2,499 and Under

11) Does the infrastructure have regional impact? Consider originations & destinations of traffic, size of service area, number of jurisdictions served, functional classification, etc.

5 Points - Major impact

4 Points -

3 Points - Moderate impact

2 Points -

1 Point - Minimal or no impact

TOTAL AVAILABLE = 100 POINTS